arXiv:math/0703676v1 [math.NT] 22 Mar 2007

GARAEV'S INEQUALITY IN FINITE FIELDS NOT OF PRIME ORDER

Nets Hawk Katz and Chun-Yen Shen

Indiana University

§0 Introduction

Let A be a subset of $F = F_{p^k}$, the field of p^k elements with p prime.

We let

$$A + A = \{a + b : a \in A, b \in A\},$$

and

$$AA = \{ab : a \in A, b \in A\}.$$

It is fun (and useful) to prove lower bounds on $\max(|A+A|, |AA|)$ (see e.g. [BKT],[BGK],[G]). Recently, Garaev [G] showed that when $k = 1$ and $|A| < p^{\frac{1}{2}}$ one has the estimate

$$\max(|A + A|, |AA|) \gtrapprox |A|^{\frac{15}{14}}.$$

The authors in [KS] slightly improved this to

$$\max(|A + A|, |AA|) \gtrapprox |A|^{\frac{14}{13}}.$$

In the present paper, we extend Garaev's techniques to the set of fields which are not necessarily of prime order. Precisely, we prove

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* primary 42B25 secondary 60K35.

The first author was supported by NSF grant DMS 0432237.

Typeset by $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{M}}\mathcal{S}$-TEX

Main Theorem. *Let F be a finite field. Suppose that A is a subset of F so that for any $A' \subset A$ with $|A'| \geq |A|^{\frac{47}{48}}$ and for any $G \subset F$ a subfield (not necessarily proper) and for any elements $c, d \in F$ if*

$$A' \subset cG + d,$$

then

$$|A'| \leq |G|^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Then it must be that

$$\max(|A+A|, |AA|) \gtrapprox |A|^{\frac{49}{48}}.$$

The hypotheses regarding interaction with subfields could be tightened slightly in various ways, but they certainly need to require that A be different from an affine translate of G. In many cases, they are vacuous, as when k is odd and $p^{\frac{16k+\epsilon}{47}} < |A| < p^{\frac{k}{2}}$. We think hypotheses as saying that in a certain sense, the dimension of A is at most $\frac{1}{2}$. An analogy may be drawn with the sum product theorem in [B] and it is possible that the techniques here would be useful in that setting.

§1 Preliminaries

Throughout this paper A will denote a fixed set in the field $F = F_{p^k}$ of p elements with p a prime. For B, any set, we will denote its cardinality by $|B|$.

Whenever X and Y are quantities we will use

$$X \lesssim Y,$$

to mean

$$X \leq CY,$$

where the constant C is universal (i.e. independent of p and A). The constant C may vary from line to line. We will use

$$X \lessapprox Y,$$

to mean

$$X \leq C(\log |A|)^{\alpha} Y,$$

where C and α may vary from line to line but are universal.

We state some preliminary lemmas.

Lemma 1.1. *Let $A \subset F$. Suppose that*

$$|\frac{A-A}{A-A}| \geq |A|^2.$$

Then there are $a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2 \in A$ with

$$|(a_1 - a_2)A + (b_1 - b_2)A| \gtrsim |A|^2.$$

Proof. Under the hypothesis, there is $x \in \frac{A-A}{A-A}$ with at most $|A|^2$ representations

$$x = \frac{a_1 - a_2}{b_1 - b_2}.$$

Thus there $\lesssim |A|^2$ solutions of

$$a_1 + b_2 x = a_2 + b_1 x.$$

Therefore

$$|A + xA| \gtrsim |A|^2.$$

But if

$$x = \frac{a_1 - a_2}{b_1 - b_2},$$

Then

$$|A + xA| = |(a_1 - a_2)A + (b_1 - b_2)A|.$$

□

Lemma 1.2. *Let $A \subset F$. Suppose that $x \in F$ with $x \notin \frac{A-A}{A-A}$, then*

$$|A + xA| = |A|^2.$$

Proof. There are no nontrivial solutions of

$$a_1 + xb_2 = a_2 + xb_1,$$

with $a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2 \in A$. □

Lemma 1.3. *Let $A \subset F$ with cardinality at least 3. Suppose that G is a subfield of F with*

$$\frac{A - A}{A - A} \subset G,$$

then there exist $c, d \in F$ with

$$A \subset cG + d.$$

Proof. Suppose that the conclusion is false for all $c, d \in F$. Then we can find $a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2, c, d_1, d_2 \in A$ and $g_1, g_2, g_3, g_4 \in G$ with $b_1 \neq b_2$ and $\frac{d_1-d_2}{c} \notin G$, so that

$$a_1 = cg_1 + d_1; \quad a_2 = cg_2 + d_2; \quad b_1 = cg_3 + d_2; \quad b_2 = cg_4 + d_2. \tag{1.1}$$

We do this as follows: We select b_1, b_2 distinct in A. Since $b_1 - b_2$ is invertible, we can find c so that $(b_1 - b_2) \in cG$. Then there is d_2 with $b_1, b_2 \in cG + d_2$. We choose $a_2 \in cG + d_2 \cap A$. It need not be distinct from b_1 and b_2. Then we apply the assumption to pick $a_1 \in A$ but $a_1 \notin cG + d_2$. Applying (1.1), we see immediately

$$\frac{a_1 - a_2}{b_1 - b_2} \notin G.$$

□

The following two lemmas, quoted by Garaev, are due to Ruzsa, may be found in [TV]. The first is usually referred to as Rusza's triangle inequality. The second is a form of Plunneke's inequality.

Lemma 1.4. *For any subsets X, Y, Z of F we have*

$$|X - Z| \leq \frac{|Y - X||X + Z|}{|X|}.$$

Lemma 1.5. *Let $X, B_1, \ldots, B_k$ be any subsets of F with*

$$|X + B_i| \leq \alpha_i |X|,$$

for i ranging from 1 to k. Then there exists $X_1 \subset X$ with

$$|X_1 + B_1 + \cdots + B_k| \leq \alpha_1 \ldots \alpha_k |X_1|. \tag{(1.1)}$$

We record a number of Corollaries. The first two can be found in [TV]. The second one, we first became aware of in the paper of Garaev. The third is a slight refinement which we need here.

Corollary 1.6. *Let $X, B_1, \ldots, B_k$ be any subsets of F. Then*

$$|B_1 + \cdots + B_k| \leq \frac{|X + B_1| \ldots |X + B_k|}{|X|^{k-1}}.$$

Proof. Simply bound $|B_1 + \cdots + B_k|$ by $|X_1 + B_1 + \cdots + B_k|$ and $|X_1|$ by $|X|$. □

Corollary 1.7. *Let $A \subset F$ and let $a, b \in A$. Then we have the inequalities*

$$|aA + bA| \leq \frac{|A + A|^2}{|aA \cap bA|},$$

and

$$|aA - bA| \leq \frac{|A + A|^2}{|aA \cap bA|}.$$

Proof. To get the first inequality, apply Corollary 1.6 with $k = 2$, $B_1 = aA$, $B_2 = bA$, and $X = aA \cap bA$.

To get the second inequality, apply Lemma 1.4 with $Y = aA$, $Z = -bA$ and $X = -(aA \cap bA)$. $\square$

Corollary 1.8. *Let $a_1, a_2, b \in F$ and let $A \subset F$. Then*

$$|a_1a_2A + b^2A| \leq \frac{|A + A|^4}{|a_1A \cap bA||a_2A \cap bA||A|},$$

and

$$|a_1a_2A - b^2A| \leq \frac{|A + A|^4}{|a_1A \cap bA||a_2A \cap bA||A|},$$

Proof. To obtain the first inequality, we use Corollary 1.6 with $k = 2$ and $X = a_1bA$ to obtain

$$|a_1a_2A + b^2A| \leq \frac{|a_1A + bA||a_2A + bA|}{|A|}.$$

Then we apply Corollary 1.7 twice. The second inequality proceeds likewise. $\square$

§2 Modified Garaev's inequality

In this section, we slightly modify Garaev's argument to obtain the desired result.

Proof of main Theorem.

Following Garaev, we observe that

$$\sum_{a \in A} \sum_{b \in A} |aA \cap bA| \geq \frac{|A|^4}{|AA|}.$$

Therefore, we can find an element $b_0 \in A$, a subset $A_1 \subset A$ and a number N satisfying

$$|b_0A \cap aA| \approx N,$$

for every $a \in A_1$. Further

$$N \gtrapprox \frac{|A|^2}{|AA|}, \tag{2.1}$$

and

$$|A_1|N \gtrapprox \frac{|A|^3}{|AA|}. \tag{2.2}$$

Now there are three cases. In the first case, we have that $\frac{A_1-A_1}{A_1-A_1}$ is a field $G \subset F$. If we have $|A_1| \leq |A|^{\frac{47}{48}}$, then we already have the desired result from (2.2) and $N \leq |A|$. Otherwise, by Lemma 1.3, we have that A_1 is contained in an affine image of G so that by hypothesis

$$|\frac{A_1 - A_1}{A_1 - A_1}| \gtrsim |A_1|^2.$$

Thus by Lemma 1.1 we can find $a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2 \in A_1$ so that

$$|A_1|^2 \lesssim |(a_1 - a_2)A_1 + (b_1 - b_2)A_1| \leq |a_1A - a_2A + b_1A - b_2A|.$$

Applying Corollary 1.6 with $k = 4$ and with $B_1 = a_1A$, with $B_2 = -a_2A$ with $B_3 = b_1A$, with $B_4 = -b_2A$, and with $X = b_0A$. and applying Corollary 1.7 to bound above $|X + B_j|$, This we get

$$|A_1|^2 \lesssim \frac{|A + A|^8}{N^4|A|^3},$$

or

$$|A_1|^2N^4|A|^3 \lessapprox |A + A|^8.$$

Applying (2.2), we get

$$N^2|A|^9 \lessapprox |A + A|^8|AA|^2. \tag{2.3}$$

and applying (2.1), we get

$$|A|^{13} \lessapprox |A + A|^8|AA|^4. \tag{2.4}$$

The estimate (2.4) implies that

$$\max(|A + A|, |AA|) \gtrapprox |A|^{\frac{13}{12}} \gtrapprox |A|^{\frac{49}{48}},$$

so that we have more than we need in this case. We restrict to the setting where $\frac{A_1-A_1}{A_1-A_1}$ is not a field.

Now there are two remaining cases, either we can find $x \in \frac{A_1-A_1}{A_1-A_1} + \frac{A_1-A_1}{A_1-A_1}$ or $x \in (\frac{A_1-A_1}{A_1-A_1})(\frac{A_1-A_1}{A_1-A_1})$ with $x \notin \frac{A_1-A_1}{A_1-A_1}$. In light of Lemma 1.2, we then have

$$|A_1|^2 \leq |A_1 + xA_1| \leq |A + xA|.$$

In the former case, we may write $x = \frac{a_1-a_2}{b_1-b_2} + \frac{c_1-c_2}{d_1-d_2}$. Then we have

$$|A_1|^2 \leq |(b_1-b_2)(d_1-d_2)A + (b_1-b_2)(c_1-c_2)A + (a_1-a_2)(d_1-d_2)A|.$$

Now applying Corollary 1.6 with $k = 3$ and $X = (b_1-b_2)(d_1-d_2)A$, we obtain

$$|A_1|^2 \leq \frac{|A+A||d_1A - d_2A + c_1A - c_2A||b_1A - b_2A + d_1A - d_2A|}{|A|^2}.$$

Applying Corollary 1.6 to the last two factors with $k = 4$ and $X = b_0A$ and then invoking Corollary 1.7, we get

$$|A_1|^2 \lesssim \frac{|A+A|^{17}}{N^8|A|^8}.$$

Invoking (2.1) and (2.2), we get

$$|A|^{26} \lessapprox |A+A|^{17}|AA|^8.$$

Since $\frac{26}{25} \geq \frac{49}{48}$, we get more than we need in this case as well.

In the final case, we may write

$$x = \frac{(a_{10}-a_{11})(a_{20}-a_{21})}{(a_{30}-a_{31})(a_{40}-a_{41})}.$$

Thus we obtain

$$|A_1|^2 \leq |\sum_{i=0}^{1}\sum_{j=0}^{1}(-1)^{i+j}a_{1i}a_{2j}A + \sum_{i=0}^{1}\sum_{j=0}^{1}(-1)^{i+j}a_{3i}a_{4j}A|.$$

We now apply Corollary 1.6 with $k = 8$ and $X = b_0^2A$ and we apply Corollary 1.8 to each of the eight terms to obtain

$$|A_1|^2 \leq \frac{|A+A|^{32}}{N^{16}|A|^{15}}.$$

Applying (2.1) and (2.2), we obtain

$$|A|^{49} \lessapprox |A+A|^{32}|AA|^{16},$$

which gives the desired result.

□

References

[B] Bourgain, J., *On the Erdós-Volkmann and Katz-Tao ring conjectures*, GAFA **13** (2003), 334–365.

[BGK] Bourgain, J., Glibichuk, A.A., and Konyagin, S.V., *Estimates for the number of sums and products and for exponential sums in fields of prime order*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **73** (2006), 380–398.

[BKT] Bourgain, J., Katz, N, and Tao, T., *A sum product estimate in finite fields and Applications*, GAFA **14** (2004), 27–57.

[G] Garaev, M.Z., *An explicit sum-product estimate in* $\mathbb{F}_p$, preprint.

[GK] Glibichuk, A.A, and Konyagin, S.V, *Additive properties of product sets in fields of prime order*, preprint.

[KS] Katz, N. H. and Shen, C.-Y., *A Slight Improvement to Garaev's Sum Product Estimate*, preprint.

[TV] Tao, T. and Vu, V., Cambridge Univ. Press (2006).